ONGINA

the same in the institutional mailbox Houston v. Lack, US (1989).

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

FILED

PAUL LEE,

Plaintiff,

MA) せき 2000

v.

1:CV-00-0486

MARY E CANDREA CLER

UNITED STATES, et al, Defendants.

MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION PURSUANT TO F.R.Cv.P. 59 AND/OR PURSUANT TO F.R.Cv.P. 60

Plaintiff Paul Lee ("Lee"), in pro per, moves this Court to reconsider its Order entered April 26, 2000:

In its April 26, 2000, Order, this Court dismissed the instant suit sua sponte for failing to exhaust administrative remedies under both the Federal Tort Claims Act and the Federal Bureau of Prisons' administrative remedy procedure. The Court did so without first issuing an Order to Show Cause which prevented Lee from providing affirmative evidence on the issue.

As shown by the attached exhibits, Lee filed a claim under the Federal Tort Claims Act, 28 USC §2671, et seq. The claim was denied on September 9, 1999, prior to the filing of the instant Complaint. Additionally, Lee filed all three required administrative remedies at FBOP Adm. Rem. 192485. The final remedy response is also attached hereto.

Therefore, with all due respect, the Court's decision was hastily made without the benefit of input from the Plaintiff. In light of the evidence as attached hereto, it is clear that the decision was factually erroneous.

Lee further advises the Court that once reconsideration is granted and this suit reinstated, he will file an Amended Complaint which will address the issues in a more clear and detailed manner. The imminent Amended Complaint will also allege the exhaustion of remedies. Lee, of course, needs not obtain authorization prior to filing his Amended Complaint, F.R.Cv.P. 15(a), and will do so within twenty (20) days of the anticipated reinstatement.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Paul Lee respectfully requests that the suit be reinstated.

Respectfully submitted,

Rv:

Paul Lee, in pro per Box 2000 01656.087 White Deer, PA 17887 1.800.278.3288 Telephone 1.800.572.4403 Facsimile

PLAINTIFF

varden and Regional Director that the medical care and you have received is appropriate. Your Central Office

treatment of the

scoordingly, we concur with the findings and decisions

idministrative Remedy Appeal is denied.

This is the response to your Central Office Administrative Remedy Appeal in which you allege the medical care and treatment you are receiving for your hip pain is not appropriate. You also disagree with the need to carry a cane to alleviate your pain. Discussion with FCI Allenwood medical staff indicates you have

been diagnosed with having degenerative arthritis of the left hip. The Clinical Director has determined you are not a candidate for a hip replacement, but have been offered a cane and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID) to ease your pain. sick call procedures. The record reflects Health Services staff have provided you medical care and treatment in accordance with degenerative arthritis. Should you wish to reconsider receiving considered standard treatment to decrease pain associated with institution resulted in increased hip pain. NSAID injections are on have refused the use of a cane and non-steroidal antieatment, you should make your needs known by utilizing normal You state the injection given at a prior

National Watts, Inmate Appeals Administrator

Date

U.S. Department of Justice

.

Federal Bureau of Prisons

Federal Correctional Inst

Beaver, West Virginia 25R13 Telephone: 13041 252-9758 P.O. Bar

CERTIFIED

September 9, 1999

Reg. No. 01656-087 Paul Lee

White Deer, PA 17887 P.O. Box 2500 FCI Allenwood

Re: Administrative Tort Claim Number T-MXR-99-357

Dear Mr. Lee:

caused bursitis and arthritis in your hip. 28 U.S.C. § 2671. et. veg., and authority granted under 28 C.F.R. § 0.172. You claim government liability in the amount of \$2.500,000.00 for personal injury. Specifically, you claim that on July 14, 1997, while housed at FCI Beckley, you were forced to sleep on a hard metal steel bed which Your claim has been considered for administrative settlement under the Federal Tort Claims Act.

States District Court. should you wish to do so. six months from the date of the mailing of this notification to bring suit in an appropriate United This letter is a final denial of your claim. If you are not satisfied with the determination, you have As no act or omission of a Government employee was a factor in your injury. your claim is denied degenerative arthritis and butsitis are attributed to sleeping on a hard metal surface, as you allege, White at FCI Beckley you were provided a mattresses to sleep on. There is no evidence that your

Sincerely:

Regional Counsel Bill Burlington

Starus

LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INTIME

הופאיהה בחומטונא נולג האפוה